Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,264	SUNDARARAJAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist	1797	
All Participants:	rticipants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Arlen Soderquist</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Martin Sulsky</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2008</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 10, 13, 46 and 55			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed changes to the claims that would bring the application into condition for allowance. An agreement was reached and authorization given to make the changes by examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	